

Lecture 26: Left-over Hash Lemma & Bonami-Beckner Noise Operator

- Suppose we have access to a sample from a probability distribution \mathbb{X} that only has very weak randomness guarantee. For example, \mathbb{X} is a probability distribution over the sample space $\{0, 1\}^n$ such that $H_\infty(\mathbb{X}) \geq k$. That is, the output of \mathbb{X} is very unpredictable and for all $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathbb{X} = x] \leq \frac{1}{2^k} = \frac{1}{K}$$

- Our objective is to generate uniform random bits from any distribution with $H_\infty(\mathbb{X}) \geq k$

- Ideally, we will prefer to have one function $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$ such that its output $f(\mathbb{X})$ is close to the uniform distribution \mathbb{U}_m (the uniform distribution over $\{0, 1\}^m$)
- However, we shall show that it is impossible that one function can extract random bits from all high min-entropy sources. This impossibility is in the strongest possible sense.
- We shall show that for every extraction function $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, there exists a min-entropy source \mathbb{X} such that $H_\infty(\mathbb{X}) \geq n - 1$ such that $f(\mathbb{X})$ is constant. We cannot even extract one random bit from sources with $(n - 1)$ min-entropy.

- The proof is as follows. Consider $S_0 = f^{-1}(0)$ and $S_1 = f^{-1}(1)$. Note that either S_0 or S_1 has at least 2^{n-1} entries. Suppose without loss of generality, $|S_0| \geq 2^{n-1}$. Consider \mathbb{X} , the uniform distribution over the set S_0 . Note that $\mathbb{P}[\mathbb{X} = x] \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$. We have $H_\infty(\mathbb{X}) \geq n - 1$.

Universal Hash Function Family

Definition (Universal Hash Function Family)

Let $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_\alpha\}$ be a collection of hash functions such that, for each $1 \leq i \leq \alpha$, we have $h_i: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$. Let \mathbb{H} be a probability distribution over the hash functions in \mathcal{H} . The family \mathcal{H} is a *universal hash function family* with respect to the probability distribution \mathbb{H} if it satisfies the following condition. For all distinct inputs $x, x' \in \{0, 1\}^n$, we have

$$\mathbb{P} [h(x) = h(x') : h \sim \mathbb{H}] \leq \frac{1}{2^m} = \frac{1}{M}$$

- Recall that we have seen that it is impossible for a deterministic function to extract even one random bit from sources with $(n - 1)$ bits of min-entropy.
- We shall now show that choosing a hash function from a universal hash function family suffices

Theorem (Left-over Hash Lemma)

Let \mathcal{H} be a universal hash function family $\{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$ with respect to the probability distribution \mathbb{H} over \mathcal{H} . Let \mathbb{X} be any min-entropy source over $\{0, 1\}^n$ such that $H_\infty(\mathbb{X}) \geq k$. Then, we have

$$\text{SD}((\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{X}), \mathbb{H}), (\mathbb{U}_m, \mathbb{H})) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{M}{K}}$$

- **Remark.** Note that we are claiming that $\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{X})$ is close to the uniform distribution \mathbb{U}_m over $\{0, 1\}^m$ even given the hash function \mathbb{H} .

- The proof proceeds in the following steps.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2\text{SD}((\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{X}), \mathbb{H}), (\mathbb{U}_m, \mathbb{H})) \\
 &= \mathbb{E} \left[2\text{SD}((\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{X}) | \mathbb{H} = h), (\mathbb{U}_m | \mathbb{H} = h)) : h \sim \mathbb{H} \right] \\
 &= \mathbb{E} \left[2\text{SD}(h(\mathbb{X}), \mathbb{U}_m) : h \sim \mathbb{H} \right] \\
 &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\ell_2 \left(\text{Bias}_{h(\mathbb{X})} - \text{Bias}_{\mathbb{U}_m} \right) : h \sim \mathbb{H} \right] \\
 &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sqrt{\sum_{S \in \{0,1\}^m} \text{Bias}_{h(\mathbb{X})}(S)^2 - 1} : h \sim \mathbb{H} \right] \\
 &\leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{S \in \{0,1\}^m} \text{Bias}_{h(\mathbb{X})}(S)^2 - 1 : h \sim \mathbb{H} \right]}
 \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is due to Jensen's inequality.

- Let us continue our simplification.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2\text{SD}((\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{X}), \mathbb{H}), (\mathbb{U}_m, \mathbb{H})) \\
 & \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{S \in \{0,1\}^m} \text{Bias}_{h(\mathbb{X})}(S)^2 - 1 : h \sim \mathbb{H} \right]} \\
 & = \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{S \in \{0,1\}^m} \text{Bias}_{h(\mathbb{X})}(S)^2 : h \sim \mathbb{H} \right] - 1} \\
 & = \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left[M \cdot \text{Col}(h(\mathbb{X}), h(\mathbb{X})) : h \sim \mathbb{H} \right] - 1}
 \end{aligned}$$

- Note that one sample of $h(\mathbb{X})$ collides with a second sample of $h(\mathbb{X})$ due to the following cases
 - 1 The first sample of \mathbb{X} collides with the second sample of \mathbb{X} .
Since, $H_\infty(\mathbb{X}) \geq k$, we have

$$\text{Col}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}) \leq \frac{1}{K}$$

- 2 If the first and the second samples from \mathbb{X} are different, then they collide with probability $\leq \frac{1}{M}$ when $h \sim \mathbb{H}$.

Overall, by union bound, we get that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\text{Col} (h(\mathbb{X}), h(\mathbb{X})) : h \sim \mathbb{H} \right] \leq \frac{1}{K} + \frac{1}{M}$$

- Substituting this estimation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\text{SD}((\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{X}), \mathbb{H}), (\mathbb{U}_m, \mathbb{H})) \\ & \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left[M \cdot \text{Col}(h(\mathbb{X}), h(\mathbb{X})) : h \sim \mathbb{H} \right] - 1} \\ & = \sqrt{M \cdot \left(\frac{1}{K} + \frac{1}{M} \right) - 1} = \sqrt{\frac{M}{K}} \end{aligned}$$

- Note that this result says that we must ensure $m < k$ for the output of the extraction to be close to the uniform distribution

- Today, we shall introduce the basics of the “noise operator”
- This operator is crucial to one of the most powerful technical tools in Fourier Analysis, namely, the Hypercontractivity

Noise Operator

- Let \mathbb{N}_ε be a probability distribution over the sample space $\{0, 1\}^n$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathbb{N}_\varepsilon = x] = (1 - \varepsilon)^{n-|x|} \varepsilon^{|x|}$$

Here $|x|$ represents the number of 1s in x (or, equivalently, the Hamming weight of x)

- Intuitively, imagine a channel through which 0^n is fed as input. The channel converts each bit independently as follows. It converts $0 \mapsto 1$ with probability ε ; and $1 \mapsto 0$ with probability $(1 - \varepsilon)$. Note that the probability of the output being x is $(1 - \varepsilon)^{n-|x|} \varepsilon^{|x|}$
- Our objective is to prove that

$$\text{Bias}_{\mathbb{N}_\varepsilon}(S) = (1 - 2\varepsilon)^{|S|}$$

We shall prove this result using a highly modular and elegant approach

- For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\mathbb{N}_{\varepsilon,i}$ be the probability distribution defined below

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathbb{N}_{\varepsilon,i} = x] = \begin{cases} (1 - \varepsilon), & \text{if } x = 0^n \\ \varepsilon, & \text{if } x = \delta_i \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Intuitively, 0^n is fed through a channel. All bits except the i -th bit are left unchanged. The i -th bit is converted as follows. It maps $0 \mapsto 1$ with probability ε ; and $0 \mapsto 0$ with probability $(1 - \varepsilon)$.

- Let us compute the bias of this distribution. For any $S \in \{0, 1\}^n$, note that, if $S_i = 0$, we have

$$\text{Bias}_{\mathbb{N}_{\varepsilon,i}}(S) = 1$$

For any $S \in \{0, 1\}^n$, if $S_i = 1$, we have

$$\text{Bias}_{\mathbb{N}_{\varepsilon,i}}(S) = (1 - \varepsilon) - \varepsilon = (1 - 2\varepsilon)$$

- Succinctly, we can express this as

$$\text{Bias}_{\mathbb{N}_{\varepsilon,i}}(S) = (1 - 2\varepsilon)^{S_i}$$

- So, we can conclude that

$$\text{Bias}_{\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{N}_{\varepsilon,i}}(S) = (1 - 2\varepsilon)^{\sum_{i=1}^n S_i} = (1 - 2\varepsilon)^{|S|}$$

- It is left as an exercise to prove that the distribution \mathbb{N}_{ε} is identical to the distribution $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{N}_{\varepsilon,i}$

Noisy Version of a Function

- Let $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any function
- Define the noisy version of f as follows

$$\tilde{f}(x) = T_\rho(x) := \mathbb{E} [f(x + e) : e \sim \mathbb{N}_\varepsilon],$$

where $\rho = 1 - 2\varepsilon$

- So, we have

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \sum_{e \in \{0,1\}^n} \mathbb{N}_\varepsilon(e) f(x + e) = N(\mathbb{N}_\varepsilon * f)$$

Equivalently, we have $\tilde{f} = \mathbb{N}_\varepsilon \oplus f$ (we emphasize that f need not be a probability distribution to use the notation of \oplus of two functions)

- Therefore, we get

$$\text{Bias}_{\tilde{f}}(S) = \text{Bias}_{\mathbb{N}_\varepsilon}(S) \cdot \text{Bias}_f(S) = \rho^{|S|} \text{Bias}_f(S)$$

- That is, we conclude that

$$\widehat{T_\rho(f)}(S) = \rho^{|S|} \widehat{f}(S)$$